arXiv:math/0505313v2 [math.PR] 28 Feb 2007

The Annals of Probability
2006, Vol. 34, No. 6, 2315–2331
DOI: 10.1214/009117906000000421
© Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 2006

ESTIMATES OF MOMENTS AND TAILS OF GAUSSIAN CHAOSES[1]

By Rafał Latała

Warsaw University

We derive two-sided estimates on moments and tails of Gaussian chaoses, that is, random variables of the form $\sum a_{i_1,\dots,i_d} g_{i_1}\cdots g_{i_d}$, where g_i are i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ r.v.'s. Estimates are exact up to constants depending on d only.

1. Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to give precise bounds on moments and tails of Gaussian chaoses of order d, that is, random variables of the form $S=\sum_{i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_d} a_{i_1,\dots,i_d} g_{i_1}\cdots g_{i_d}$. In the sequel, we will only consider decoupled chaoses $\tilde{S}=\sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_1}^{(1)}\cdots g_{i_d}^{(d)}$, where $g_i^{(k)}$ are independent standard $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ normal random variables and $(a_{\mathbf{i}})=(a_{i_1,\dots,i_d})_{1\le i_1,\dots,i_d\le N}$ is a finite multi-indexed matrix—under natural symmetry assumptions, moments and tails of S and $\tilde{S}$ are comparable with constants depending only on d (cf. [5]).

For $d=1$, we obviously have for $p\ge 2$,

$$\left\|\sum_i a_i g_i\right\|_p = \left(\sum_i a_i^2\right)^{1/2} \|g\|_p \sim \sqrt{p}\left(\sum_i a_i^2\right)^{1/2}. \tag{1}$$

For the chaoses of order 2, we have for any finite rectangular matrix (a_{ij}) and $p\ge 2$,

$$\left\|\sum_{ij} a_{ij} g_i^{(1)} g_j^{(2)}\right\|_p \sim \sqrt{p}\|(a_{ij})\|_{\{1,2\}} + p\|(a_{ij})\|_{\{1\}\{2\}}, \tag{2}$$

where $\|(a_{ij})\|_{\{1,2\}} := \|(a_{ij})\|_{\mathrm{HS}} = (\sum_{ij} a_{ij}^2)^{1/2}$ and

$$\|(a_{ij})\|_{\{1\}\{2\}} := \sup\left\{\sum_{ij} a_{ij}x_i y_j : \|x\|_2\le 1, \|y\|_2\le 1\right\}.$$

Received May 2005; revised December 2005.
[1]Supported in part by KBN Grant 2 PO3A 027 22.
AMS 2000 subject classifications. Primary 60E15; secondary 60G15.
Key words and phrases. Gaussian chaoses, Gaussian processes, metric entropy.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article published by the Institute of Mathematical Statistics in *The Annals of Probability*, 2006, Vol. 34, No. 6, 2315–2331. This reprint differs from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

The upper part of the estimate (2) was obtained in [6]; the lower one is much easier (cf. [7]). One of the reasons why the case where $d=2$ turned out to be relatively simple is that every square matrix is orthogonally equivalent to the diagonal matrix.

For $d \geq 3$, Borell [4] and Arcones and Giné [3] showed that

$$\|S\|_p \overset{d}{\sim} \sum_{k=1}^{d} p^{k/2} \mathbf{E} \sup\left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{l=1}^{k} x_{i_k}^{(k)} \prod_{l=k+1}^{d} g_{i_l}^{(l)} : \|x^{(l)}\|_2 \leq 1, 1 \leq l \leq k \right\}. \tag{3}$$

The above formula gives the precise dependence on p, but unfortunately involves suprema of empirical processes that are, in general, not easy to estimate. (For generalizations of the above formula to the non-Gaussian case, cf. [1] and [10].) In this paper, we present bounds on moments and tails that involve only deterministic quantities.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we present notation and definitions that will be used in the rest of the paper and formulate main results. In Section 3 we obtain bounds on entropy numbers for distances on products of Euclidean balls. This will provide a crucial tool to estimate suprema of certain Gaussian processes that naturally appear in the study of Gaussian chaoses. Finally, in the last section, we present proofs of main results.

2. Notation and main results. We use the letter C to denote universal positive constants that may change from occurrence to occurrence and $C(d)$ to denote positive constants depending only on d. [$C(d)$ may also differ at each occurrence.] We write $f \sim g$ if $\frac{1}{C} f \leq g \leq Cf$ and $f \sim_d g$ if $\frac{1}{C(d)} f \leq g \leq C(d) f$. The canonical Euclidean norm of a vector x is denoted by $\|x\|_2$. Recall that the pth norm of a real random variable X is defined as $\|X\|_p := (\mathbf{E}|X|^p)^{1/p}$.

Let $d \geq 1$ and $A = (a_{\mathbf{i}})_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_d \leq n}$ be a finite multi-indexed matrix of order d. If $\mathbf{i} \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^d$ and $I \subset \{1, \ldots, d\}$, then we define $i_I := (i_j)_{j \in I}$. For disjoint nonempty subsets $I_1, \ldots, I_k$ of $\{1, \ldots, d\}$, we put

$$\|A\|_{I_1, \ldots, I_k} := \sup\left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} x_{i_{I_1}}^{(1)} \cdots x_{i_{I_k}}^{(k)} : \sum_{i_{I_1}} (x_{i_{I_1}}^{(1)})^2 \leq 1, \ldots, \sum_{i_{I_k}} (x_{i_{I_k}}^{(k)})^2 \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Thus, for example,

$$\|A\|_{\{1, \ldots, d\}} = \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$\|(a_{ijk})\|_{\{1\}\{2,3\}} = \sup\left\{ \left(\sum_{jk} \left(\sum_{i} a_{ijk} x_i \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} : \sum_{i} x_i^2 \leq 1 \right\}$$

$$= \sup\left\{ \left(\sum_i \left(\sum_{jk} a_{ijk} x_{jk} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} : \sum_{jk} x_{jk}^2 \le 1 \right\}.$$

By $S(k,d)$, we denote a set of all partitions of $\{1,\dots,d\}$ into k nonempty disjoint sets $I_1,\dots,I_k$. For $p \ge 1$, we put

$$m_p(A) := \sum_{k=1}^{d} p^{k/2} \sum_{(I_1,\dots,I_k)\in S(k,d)} \|A\|_{I_1,\dots,I_k}.$$

Our main result is the following:

THEOREM 1. *For any multi-indexed finite matrix $A = (a_{\mathbf{i}})_{1\le i_1,\dots,i_d\le n}$ and $p \ge 2$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{C(d)} m_p(A) \le \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{d} g_{i_j}^{(j)} \right\|_p \le C(d) m_p(A). \tag{4}$$

Theorem 1 may be easily translated into the following two-sided estimate for tails:

COROLLARY 1. *For any $t > 0$ and $d \ge 2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{C(d)} \exp\left[- C(d) \min_{1\le k\le d} \min_{(I_1,\dots,I_k)\in S(k,d)} \left(\frac{t}{\|A\|_{I_1,\dots,I_k}} \right)^{2/k} \right] \\ &\quad \le \mathbf{P}\left(\left| \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{d} g_{i_j}^{(j)} \right| \ge t \right) \\ &\quad \le C(d) \exp\left[- \frac{1}{C(d)} \min_{1\le k\le d} \min_{(I_1,\dots,I_k)\in S(k,d)} \left(\frac{t}{\|A\|_{I_1,\dots,I_k}} \right)^{2/k} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3), it is clear that the proof of (4) should be based on the estimation of norms of some random Gaussian matrices. The next theorem is, in our opinion, of independent interest and has recently been applied in [2] to obtain moment estimates for canonical U-statistics.

THEOREM 2. *For any $d \ge 2$ and any finite matrix A, we have for $p \ge 2$,*

$$\mathbf{E} \left\| \left(\sum_{i_d} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_d} \right) \right\|_{\{1\}\dots\{d-1\}} \le C(d) p^{(1-d)/2} m_p(A). \tag{5}$$

REMARK. We suspect that a stronger estimate may actually hold, namely

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\left\|\left(\sum_{i_d} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_d}\right)\right\|_{\{1\}\dots\{d-1\}} &\leq C(d)\inf_{p\geq 1}(s_{d-1}(A)+p^{1/2}\|A\|_{\{1\}\dots\{d\}}+p^{(2-d)/2}\|A\|_{\{1,\dots,d\}}) \\ &\leq C(d)(s_{d-1}(A)+\|A\|_{\{1\}\dots\{d\}}^{(d-2)/(d-1)}\|A\|_{\{1,\dots,d\}}^{1/(d-1)}),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$s_{d-1}(A) := \sum_{1\leq j\leq d-1} \|A\|_{\{j,d\},\{\{l\}\,:\,1\leq l\leq d-1, l\neq j\}}.$$

However, we are not able to show this result for $d>3$.

3. Entropy estimates and Gaussian processes. By $\gamma_{n,t}$, we will denote the distribution of tG_n, where $G_n=(g_1,\dots,g_n)$ is a canonical n-dimensional Gaussian vector. We also put $G_n^{(i)} := (g_1^{(i)},\dots,g_n^{(i)})$ for i.i.d. copies of G_n.

If ρ is a metric on a set T, $N(T,\rho,t)$ is the minimal number of closed balls of radius t that are necessary to cover T. The closed unit Euclidean ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by B_2^n.

LEMMA 1. *For any norms α_1,α_2 on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $y\in S\subset B_2^n$ and $t>0$,*

$$\gamma_{n,t}(x\,:\,\alpha_1(x-y)\leq 4t\mathbf{E}\alpha_1(G_n),\alpha_2(x)\leq 4t\mathbf{E}\alpha_2(G_n)+\alpha_2(y))\geq \tfrac{1}{2}e^{-t^{-2}/2}.$$

PROOF. Let

$$K := \{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\,:\,\alpha_1(x)\leq 4t\mathbf{E}\alpha_1(G_n),\alpha_2(x)\leq 4t\mathbf{E}\alpha_2(G_n)\}.$$

By Chebyshev's inequality,

$$1-\gamma_{n,t}(K)\leq \mathbf{P}(\alpha_1(tG_n)>4\mathbf{E}\alpha_1(tG_n))+\mathbf{P}(\alpha_2(tG_n)>4\mathbf{E}\alpha_2(tG_n))\leq 1/2.$$

By the symmetry of K, we obtain for any $y\in B_2^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{n,t}(y+K) &= e^{-|y|^2/(2t^2)}\int_K e^{\langle y,x\rangle/t^2}\,d\gamma_{n,t}(x) \\ &= e^{-|y|^2/(2t^2)}\int_K \tfrac{1}{2}(e^{\langle y,x\rangle/t^2}+e^{-\langle y,x\rangle/t^2})\,d\gamma_{n,t}(x) \\ &\geq \exp(-t^{-2}/2)\gamma_{n,t}(K)\geq \tfrac{1}{2}\exp(-t^{-2}/2).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, note that if $x\in y+K$, then $\alpha_1(x-y)\leq 4t\mathbf{E}\alpha_1(G_n)$ and $\alpha_2(x)\leq \alpha_2(x-y)+\alpha_2(y)\leq 4t\mathbf{E}\alpha_2(G_n)+\alpha_2(y)$. $\square$

Let α be a norm on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1\cdots n_d}$ and the distance ρ_α on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{R}^{n_d}$ be defined by

$$\rho_\alpha(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) := \alpha\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^d x^i - \bigotimes_{i=1}^d y^i\right) \qquad \text{for } \mathbf{x}=(x^1,\dots,x^d), \mathbf{y}=(y^1,\dots,y^d),$$

where $\bigotimes_{i=1}^d x^i := (\prod_{k=1}^d x^k_{i_k})_{i_1\le n_1,\dots,i_d\le n_d}$. For $t>0$, $T\subset\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{R}^{n_d}$, we put

$$W_d^T(\alpha,t) := \sum_{k=1}^d t^k \sum_{I\subset\{1,\dots,d\}\,:\,\#I=k} W_I^T(\alpha),$$

where

$$W_I^T(\alpha) := \sup_{\mathbf{x}\in T} \mathbf{E}\alpha\left(\left(\prod_{k\notin I} x^k_{i_k}\prod_{k\in I} g^{(k)}_{i_k}\right)_{i_1,\dots,i_d}\right).$$

To simplify the notation, we will write W_d and W_I instead of W_d^T and W_I^T if $T=B_2^{n_1}\times\cdots\times B_2^{n_d}$.

LEMMA 2. *For any $t>0$ and $\mathbf{x}\in B_2^{n_1}\times\cdots\times B_2^{n_d}$, we have*

$$\gamma_{n_1+\cdots+n_d,t}(B_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, W_d^{\{\mathbf{x}\}}(\alpha,4t))) \ge 2^{-d}\exp(-dt^{-2}/2). \tag{6}$$

PROOF. We will proceed by induction on d. For $d=1$, we have

$$B_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, W_d^{\{\mathbf{x}\}}(\alpha,4t)) = \{y\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1} : \alpha(x-y)\le 4t\mathbf{E}\alpha(G_{n_1})\}$$

and (6) then follows by Lemma 1.

Now, suppose that (6) holds for $d-1$. We will show that it is also satisfied for d.

Let us first observe that

$$\alpha\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^d x^i - \bigotimes_{i=1}^d y^i\right) \le \alpha^1(x^d-y^d) + \alpha_{y^d}\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{d-1} x^i - \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d-1} y^i\right), \tag{7}$$

where α^1 and α_y are norms on $\mathbb{R}^{n_d}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n_1\cdots n_{d-1}}$, respectively, defined by

$$\alpha^1(z) := \alpha\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{d-1} x^i\otimes z\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_y(z) := \alpha(z\otimes y).$$

Then, obviously,

$$\mathbf{E}\alpha^1(G_{n_d}) = W_{\{d\}}^{\{\mathbf{x}\}}(\alpha). \tag{8}$$

Moreover, if we put $\pi(\mathbf{x}) = (x^1, \ldots, x^{d-1})$ and define a norm α_t^2 on $\mathbb{R}^{n_d}$ by the formula

$$\alpha_t^2(y) := W_{d-1}^{\{\pi(\mathbf{x})\}}(\alpha_y, t),$$

then

$$t\mathbf{E}\alpha_t^2(G_{n_d}) + \alpha_t^2(x^d) = \sum_{I \subset \{1,\ldots,d\}\,:\, I \neq \varnothing, \{d\}} t^{\#I} W_I^{\{\mathbf{x}\}}(\alpha, t). \tag{9}$$

Observe also that by the induction assumption, we have for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n_d}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_{n_1+\cdots+n_{d-1},t}\left(\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1+\cdots+n_{d-1}} : \alpha_z\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{d-1} x^i - \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d-1} y^i\right) \le \alpha_{4t}^2(z)\right) \\ &\quad \ge 2^{1-d}\exp(-(d-1)t^{-2}/2). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Finally, let

$$\begin{aligned} A(\mathbf{x}) := \Bigg\{ &\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1+\cdots+n_d} : \alpha^1(x^d - y^d) \le 4t\mathbf{E}\alpha^1(G_{n_d}), \\ &\alpha_{4t}^2(y^d) \le 4t\mathbf{E}\alpha_{4t}^2(G_{n_d}) + \alpha_{4t}^2(x^d), \\ &\alpha_{y^d}\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{d-1} x^i - \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d-1} y^i\right) \le \alpha_{4t}^2(y^d)\Bigg\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (7)–(9), we get $A(\mathbf{x}) \subset B_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, W_d^{\{\mathbf{x}\}}(\alpha, 4t))$ and, therefore, by (10), Lemma 1 and Fubini's theorem, we get

$$\gamma_{n_1+\cdots+n_d,t}(B_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, W_d^{\{\mathbf{x}\}}(\alpha, 4t))) \ge \gamma_{n_1+\cdots+n_d,t}(A(\mathbf{x})) \ge 2^{-d}\exp(-dt^{-2}/2). \square$$

COROLLARY 2. *For any $T \subset B_2^{n_1} \times \cdots \times B_2^{n_d}$ and $t \in (0, 1]$,*

$$N(T, \rho_\alpha, W_d^T(\alpha, t)) \le \exp(Cdt^{-2}).$$

In particular,

$$N(B_2^{n_1} \times \cdots \times B_2^{n_d}, \rho_\alpha, W_d(\alpha, t)) \le \exp(Cdt^{-2}).$$

PROOF. Obviously, $W_d^T(\alpha, t) \ge \sup_{\mathbf{x}\in T} W_d^{\{\mathbf{x}\}}(\alpha, t)$. Therefore, by Lemma 2, we have for any $\mathbf{x} \in T$,

$$\gamma_{n_1+\cdots+n_d,t}(B_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, W_d^T(\alpha, 4t))) \ge 2^{-d}\exp(-dt^{-2}/2). \tag{11}$$

Suppose that there exist $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N \in T$ such that $\rho_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) > W_d^T(\alpha, t) \ge 2W_d^T(\alpha, t/2)$ for $i \neq j$. Then the sets $B_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_i, W_d^T(\alpha, t/2))$ are disjoint, so by (11), we obtain $N \le 2^d\exp(32dt^{-2})$. Hence,

$$N(T, \rho_\alpha, W_d^T(\alpha, t)) \le 2^d\exp(32dt^{-2}) \le \exp(33dt^{-2}).$$

□

To finish this section, let us recall standard estimates for Gaussian processes.

LEMMA 3. *Let $(X_t)_{t\in T}$ be a centered Gaussian process and $T=\bigcup_{l=1}^m T_l$. Then*

$$\mathbf{E}\sup_{t\in T} X_t \le \max_l \mathbf{E}\sup_{t\in T_l} X_t + C\sqrt{\log m}\sup_{t,s\in T}(\mathbf{E}(X_t-X_s)^2)^{1/2}.$$

PROOF. Obviously, $\mathbf{E}\sup_{t\in T} X_t = \mathbf{E}\max_l \sup_{t\in T_l}(X_t - X_{t_0})$ for any $t_0\in T$. The lemma follows by integration by parts and the classical estimate (cf. [8], Theorem 7.1)

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{t\in T_l}(X_t-X_{t_0}) \ge \mathbf{E}\sup_{t\in T_l} X_t + u\sup_{t\in T_l}(\mathbf{E}(X_t-X_{t_0})^2)^{1/2}\right) \le \exp(-u^2/2)$$

for $u>0$. □

LEMMA 4. *Let $(X_t)_{t\in T}$ be a centered Gaussian process. Then for any $p\ge 2$,*

$$\frac{1}{C}\left(\left\|\sup_{t\in T} X_t\right\|_1 + \sqrt{p}\sigma\right) \le \left\|\sup_{t\in T} X_t\right\|_p \le \left\|\sup_{t\in T} X_t\right\|_1 + C\sqrt{p}\sigma, \tag{12}$$

where $\sigma := \sup_{t\in T}(\mathbf{E}X_t^2)^{1/2}$.

PROOF. The lower bound follows from the easy estimates

$$\left\|\sup_{t\in T} X_t\right\|_p \ge \left\|\sup_{t\in T}\max(X_t,0)\right\|_p \ge \sup_{t\in T}\|\max(X_t,0)\|_p \ge \sup_{t\in T}\|X_t\|_p/2$$

and the upper one by the concentration of suprema of Gaussian processes (cf. [8], Theorem 7.1) and integration by parts. □

4. Proofs. Let us start with some additional notation. For a matrix $A=(a_{\mathbf{i}})_{1\le i_1,\dots,i_d\le n}$ of order $d\ge 2$, we set

$$s_{d-1}(A) := \sum_{1\le j\le d-1} \|A\|_{\{j,d\},\{\{l\}\,:\,1\le l\le d-1, l\ne j\}}$$

and for $1\le k\le d-2$,

$$s_k(A) := \sum_{(I_1,\dots,I_k)\in S(k,d)} \|A\|_{I_1,\dots,I_k}.$$

On $\mathbb{R}^{(d-1)n} = (\mathbb{R}^n)^{d-1}$, we introduce the distance ρ_A by the formula

$$\rho_A(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) := \left(\sum_{i_d}\left(\sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_{d-1}} a_{\mathbf{i}}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{d-1} x_{i_k}^k - \prod_{k=1}^{d-1} y_{i_k}^k\right)\right)^2\right)^{1/2},$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x^1,\ldots,x^{d-1})$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y^1,\ldots,y^{d-1})$. We have

$$\rho_A(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{E}(X_{\mathbf{x}} - X_{\mathbf{y}})^2)^{1/2}, \tag{13}$$

where $X_{\mathbf{x}} := \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_d} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{k=1}^{d-1} x_{i_k}^k g_{i_d}$.

For $T \subset \mathbb{R}^{(d-1)n}$, we put

$$\Delta_A(T) := \sup\{\rho_A(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) : \mathbf{x},\mathbf{y} \in T\}.$$

Let us note that in particular, we have

$$\Delta_A((B_2^n)^{d-1}) \le 2\sup\{\rho_A(\mathbf{x},0) : \mathbf{x} \in (B_2^n)^{d-1}\} = 2\|A\|_{\{1\}\ldots\{d\}}. \tag{14}$$

For a set $T \subset \mathbb{R}^{(d-1)n}$ and $I \subset \{1,\ldots,d-1\}$, we put

$$W_I^T(A) := \sup_{\mathbf{x}\in T}\left(\sum_{i_{I\cup\{d\}}}\left(\sum_{i_{\{1,\ldots,d-1\}\setminus I}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{k\in\{1,\ldots,d-1\}\setminus I} x_{i_k}^k\right)^2\right)^{1/2}$$

and for $1 \le k \le d-1$,

$$W_k^T(A) := \sum_{I\subset\{1,\ldots,d-1\},\#I=k} W_I^T(A).$$

The next lemma shows how the results of the previous section may be adapted to the case of the particular metric ρ_A.

LEMMA 5. *For any $0 < t \le 1$ and $T \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$, we have*

$$N\left(T,\rho_A,\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} t^k W_k^T(A)\right) \le \exp(Cdt^{-2}). \tag{15}$$

In particular,

$$N\left(T,\rho_A,tW_1^T(A) + \sum_{k=2}^{d-1} t^k s_{d-k}(A)\right) \le \exp(Cdt^{-2}). \tag{16}$$

PROOF. Note that $\rho_A = \rho_\alpha$, where for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n^{d-1}}$,

$$\alpha(z) := \left(\sum_{i_d}\left(\sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_{d-1}} a_{\mathbf{i}} z_{i_1,\ldots,i_{d-1}}\right)^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

We have for any $\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{d-1}$ and $I \subset \{1, \dots, d-1\}$,

$$\mathbf{E}\alpha\left(\prod_{k\in\{1,\dots,d-1\}\setminus I} x_{i_k}^k \prod_{k\in I} g_{i_k}^{(k)}\right) \le \left(\mathbf{E}\alpha^2\left(\prod_{k\in\{1,\dots,d-1\}\setminus I} x_{i_k}^k \prod_{k\in I} g_{i_k}^{(k)}\right)\right)^{1/2}$$
$$= \left(\sum_{i_{I\cup\{d\}}} \left(\sum_{i_{\{1,\dots,d-1\}\setminus I}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{k\in\{1,\dots,d-1\}\setminus I} x_{i_k}^k\right)^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence,

$$W_I^T(\alpha) \le W_I^T(A)$$

and (15) immediately follows by Corollary 2.

Inequality (15) implies (16), since

$$W_I^T(A) \le W_I^{(B_2^n)^{d-1}}(A) = \|A\|_{I\cup\{d\},\{\{l\}:\, l\in\{1,\dots,d-1\}\setminus I\}}. \qquad \square$$

We are now ready to present a stronger version of Theorem 2. To formulate it, let us define for $T \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^{d-1}$,

$$F_A(T) := \mathbf{E}\sup_{\mathbf{x}\in T}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{k=1}^{d-1} x_{i_k}^k g_{i_d}\right).$$

THEOREM 3. *For any $T \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$ and $p \ge 1$,*

$$F_A(T) \le C(d)\left(\sqrt{p}\Delta_A(T) + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)\right). \tag{17}$$

Let us observe that $\mathbf{E}\|(\sum_{i_d} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_d})\|_{\{1\}\dots\{d-1\}} = F_A((B_2^n)^{d-1})$ and, therefore, Theorem 3 implies Theorem 2 since by (14), $\Delta_A((B_2^n)^{d-1}) \le 2\|A\|_{\{1\}\dots\{d\}}$.

We will prove (17) by induction on d, but first we will show several consequences of the theorem. In the next three lemmas, we shall assume that Theorem 3 (and thus also Theorem 2) holds for all matrices of order smaller than d.

For $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{d-1}$, we set

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_A(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{1\le j\ne k\le d-1} \left\| \sum_{i_j} a_{\mathbf{i}} x_{i_j}^j \right\|_{\{k,d\}\{\{l\}:\, 1\le l\le d-1, l\ne k,j\}},$$

$$\widetilde{\rho}_A(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) := \widetilde{\alpha}_A(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{1\le j\ne k\le d-1} \left\| \sum_{i_j} a_{\mathbf{i}} (x_{i_j}^j - y_{i_j}^j) \right\|_{\{k,d\}\{\{l\}:\, 1\le l\le d-1, l\ne k,j\}}$$

and for $T \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^{d-1}$,

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_A(T) := \sup\{\widetilde{\alpha}_A(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in T\}.$$

LEMMA 6. *For any $p \geq 1$ and $l \geq 0$,*

$$N\left((B_2^n)^{d-1}, \widetilde{\rho}_A, 2^{-l}\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)\right) \leq \exp(C(d)2^{2l}p).$$

PROOF. Note that $\widetilde{\alpha}_A$ is a norm on $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{d-1} = \mathbb{R}^{(d-1)n}$ and that

$$\mathbf{E}\widetilde{\alpha}(G_{(d-1)n}) = \sum_{1\leq j\neq k\leq d-1} \mathbf{E}\left\|\sum_{i_j} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_j}\right\|_{\{k,d\}\{\{l\}\,:\,1\leq l\leq d-1, l\neq k,j\}}.$$

Let us fix $1 \leq j \neq k \leq d-1$ and observe that

$$\left\|\sum_{i_j} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_j}\right\|_{\{k,d\}\{\{l\}\,:\,1\leq l\leq d-1, l\neq k,j\}} = \left\|\sum_{i_{d-1}} b_{i_1,\ldots,i_{d-1}} g_{i_{d-1}}\right\|_{\{1\}\ldots\{d-2\}}$$

for an appropriately chosen matrix $B = (b_{i_1,\ldots,i_{d-1}})$ (we treat a pair of indices k, d as a single index and renumerate indices in such a way that j would become $d-1$). Moreover, for any $1 \leq l \leq d-1$,

$$\sum_{(I_1,\ldots,I_l)\in S(l,d-1)} \|B\|_{I_1,\ldots,I_l} = \sum_{\substack{(I_1,\ldots,I_l)\in S(l,d)\\ \{k,d\}\in I_1}} \|A\|_{I_1,\ldots,I_l} \leq s_l(A).$$

Thus, by (5) (applied to the matrix B of order $d-1$),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\left\|\sum_{i_j} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_j}\right\|_{\{k,d\}\{\{l\}\,:\,1\leq l\leq d-1, l\neq k,j\}}\\
&\quad = \mathbf{E}\left\|\sum_{i_{d-1}} b_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_{d-1}}\right\|_{\{1\}\ldots\{d-2\}}\\
&\quad \leq C(d)\sum_{l=1}^{d-1} p^{(2-d+l)/2} \sum_{(I_1,\ldots,I_l)\in S(l,d-1)} \|B\|_{I_1,\ldots,I_l}\\
&\quad \leq C(d)\sum_{s=1}^{d-1} p^{(2-s)/2} s_{d-s}(A).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Corollary 2 (with $d=1$), we have for $t \in (0,1]$,

$$N\left((B_2^n)^{d-1}, \widetilde{\rho}_A, C(d)t\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(2-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)\right) \leq \exp(Ct^{-2})$$

and it suffices to make the substitution $t = (C(d)2^l\sqrt{p})^{-1}$. $\square$

LEMMA 7. *Suppose that $d \ge 3$, $\mathbf{y} \in (B_2^n)^{d-1}$ and $T \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$. Then for any $p \ge 1$ and $l \ge 0$, we can find a decomposition*

$$T = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} T_j, \qquad N \le \exp(C(d)2^{2l}p)$$

such that for each $j \le N$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_A(\mathbf{y}+T_j) &\le F_A(T_j) \\ &\quad + C(d)\biggl(\widetilde{\alpha}_A(\mathbf{y}) + \widetilde{\alpha}_A(T) + 2^{-l}\sum_{k=2}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)\biggr) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and

$$\Delta_A(T_j) \le 2^{-l}p^{-1/2}\widetilde{\alpha}_A(T) + 2^{-2l}\sum_{k=2}^{d-1} p^{-k/2} s_{d-k}(A). \tag{19}$$

PROOF. For $I \subsetneq \{1,\dots,d-1\}$, $\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{d-1}$ and $S \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^{d-1}$, let us define

$$\rho_A^{\mathbf{y},I}(\mathbf{x},\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) := \biggl(\sum_{i_d}\biggl(\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{d-1}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{k\in I} y_{i_k}^k \biggl(\prod_{j\le d-1, j\notin I} x_{i_j}^j - \prod_{j\le d-1, j\notin I} \tilde{x}_{i_j}^j\biggr)\biggr)^2\biggr)^{1/2},$$

$$\Delta_A^{\mathbf{y},I}(S) := \sup\{\rho_A^{\mathbf{y},I}(\mathbf{x},\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) : \mathbf{x},\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in S\}$$

and

$$F_A^{\mathbf{y},I}(S) := \mathbf{E}\sup_{\mathbf{x}\in S}\sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{k\in I} y_{i_k}^k \prod_{j\le d-1, j\notin I} x_{i_j}^j g_{i_d}.$$

Note that if $I \ne \varnothing$, then (17) applied to the matrix

$$A(\mathbf{y},I) := \biggl(\sum_{i_I} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{k\in I} y_{i_k}^k\biggr)$$

of order $d - \#I < d$ gives for any $S \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$ and $q \ge 1$,

$$F_A^{\mathbf{y},I}(S) \le C(d-\#I)\biggl(q^{1/2}\Delta_A^{\mathbf{y},I}(S) + \sum_{k=1}^{d-\#I-1} q^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-\#I-k}(A(\mathbf{y},I))\biggr).$$

But, $s_{d-\#I-k}(A(\mathbf{y},I)) \le s_{d-k}(A)$ for $k \ge 2$ and $s_{d-\#I-1}(A(\mathbf{y},I)) \le \widetilde{\alpha}_A(\mathbf{y})$, hence,

$$F_A^{\mathbf{y},I}(S) \le C(d)\biggl(q^{1/2}\Delta_A^{\mathbf{y},I}(S) + \widetilde{\alpha}_A(\mathbf{y}) + \sum_{k=2}^{d-1} q^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)\biggr). \tag{20}$$

Since $\mathbf{E}\sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{k\le d-1} y_{i_k}^k g_{i_d} = 0$, we get

$$F_A(y+S) - F_A(S) \le \sum_{\varnothing \ne I \subsetneq \{1,\ldots,d-1\}} F_A^{\mathbf{y},I}(S). \tag{21}$$

Observe also that for any $I \subset \{1,\ldots,d-1\}$, $0 \le \#I \le d-3$, we have

$$W_1^T(A(\mathbf{y},I)) \le \sup\{\widetilde{\alpha}_A(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in T\} = \widetilde{\alpha}_A(T).$$

Thus, we may apply $2^{d-1}-d$ times (16) with $t = 2^{-l}p^{-1/2}$ and find a decomposition $T = \bigcup_{j=1}^N T_j$, $N \le \exp(C(d)2^{2l}p)$, such that for each j and $I \subset \{1,\ldots,d-1\}$, $0 \le \#I \le d-3$,

$$\Delta_A^{\mathbf{y},I}(T_j) \le 2^{-l}p^{-1/2}\widetilde{\alpha}_A(T) + 2^{-2l}\sum_{k=2}^{d-1} p^{-k/2} s_{d-k}(A). \tag{22}$$

Moreover, if $I \subset \{1,\ldots,d-1\}$ with $\#I = d-2$, then $A(\mathbf{y},I)$ is a matrix of order 2 and for $S \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$,

$$F_A^{\mathbf{y},I}(S) \le \|A(\mathbf{y},I)\|_{\mathrm{HS}} \le \widetilde{\alpha}_A(\mathbf{y}). \tag{23}$$

Estimate (22) reduces to (19) for $I = \varnothing$ and (18) follows by (20) with $q = 2^{2l}p$ and (21)–(23). $\square$

LEMMA 8. *Suppose that S is a finite subset of $(B_2^n)^{d-1}$, with $\#S \ge 2$, such that $S - S \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$. Then there exist finite sets $S_i \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$ and $\mathbf{y}_i \in S$, $i = 1,\ldots,N$, such that:*

(i) $2 \le N \le \exp(C(d)2^{2l}p)$,
(ii) $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{y}_i + S_i)$, $S_i - S_i \subset S - S$, $\#S_i \le \#S - 1$,
(iii) $\Delta_A(S_i) \le 2^{-2l}\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{-k/2} s_{d-k}(A)$,
(iv) $\widetilde{\alpha}_A(S_i) \le 2^{-l}\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)$

and

(v) $F_A(\mathbf{y}_i + S_i) \le F_A(S_i) + C(d)(\widetilde{\alpha}_A(S) + 2^{-l}\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A))$.

PROOF. By Lemma 6, we get

$$S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_1} (\mathbf{y}_i + T_i), \qquad N_1 \le \exp(C(d)2^{2l}p),$$

$\mathbf{y}_i \in S$, $0 \in T_i$ and

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_A(T_i) \le 2^{-l}\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A).$$

Note that $T_i \subset S - \mathbf{y}_i \subset S - S \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$. Hence, by Lemma 7 (with $l+1$ instead of l), we get

$$T_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N_2} T_{i,j}, \qquad N_2 \le \exp(C(d)2^{2l}p),$$

where

$$F_A(\mathbf{y}_i + T_{i,j}) \le F_A(T_{i,j}) + C(d)\left(\widetilde{\alpha}_A(\mathbf{y}_i) + \widetilde{\alpha}_A(T_i) + 2^{-l}\sum_{k=2}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)\right)$$

$$\le F_A(T_{i,j}) + C(d)\left(\widetilde{\alpha}_A(S) + 2^{-l}\sum_{k=2}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)\right)$$

and

$$\Delta_A(T_{i,j}) \le 2^{-l-1}p^{-1/2}\widetilde{\alpha}_A(T_i) + 2^{-2l-2}\sum_{k=2}^{d-1} p^{-k/2} s_{d-k}(A)$$

$$\le 2^{-2l}\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{-k/2} s_{d-k}(A).$$

Therefore,

$$S = \bigcup_{i,j} (\mathbf{y}_i + T_{i,j}).$$

We have $N = N_1 N_2 \le \exp(C(d)2^{2l}p)$. Moreover, we may obviously assume that $N \ge 2$, and by making the sets $T_{i,j}$ disjoint, we may assume that $\#T_{i,j} \le \#S - 1$. Obviously, $T_{i,j} - T_{i,j} \subset S - S$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}_A(T_{i,j}) \le \widetilde{\alpha}_A(T_i) \le 2^{-l}\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3. We proceed by induction on d. For $d = 2$ and $A = (a_{ij})$, we have

$$F_A(T) \le F_A(B_2^n) = \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_i \left(\sum_j a_{ij} g_j\right)^2\right)^{1/2} \le \|A\|_{\mathrm{HS}} = s_1(A).$$

Suppose that $d \ge 3$ and that (17) holds for matrices of order smaller than d. Let us put $\Delta_0 := \Delta_A(T)$, $\widetilde{\Delta}_0 := \widetilde{\alpha}_A((B_2^n)^{d-1}) \le C(d)s_{d-1}(A)$ and

$$\Delta_l := 2^{2-2l}\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{-k/2} s_{d-k}(A),$$

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_l := 2^{1-l}\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A) \qquad \text{for } l \ge 1.$$

Suppose first that $T \subset \frac{1}{2}(B_2^n)^{d-1}$ and define

$$c_T(r,l) := \sup\{F_A(S) : S \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}, S - S \subset T - T, \\ \#S \le r, \Delta_A(S) \le \Delta_l, \widetilde{\alpha}_A(S) \le \widetilde{\Delta}_l\}.$$

Note that any subset $S \subset T$ satisfies $\Delta_A(S) \le \Delta_0$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}_A(S) \le \widetilde{\Delta}_0$, therefore,

$$c_T(r,0) \ge \sup\{F_A(S) : S \subset T, \#S \le r\}. \tag{24}$$

Obviously, $c_T(1,l) = 0$. We will now show that for $r \ge 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} c_T(r,l) &\le c_T(r-1,l+1) \\ &\quad + C(d)\left(\widetilde{\Delta}_l + 2^l\sqrt{p}\Delta_l + 2^{-l}\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Indeed, let us take $S \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$ as in the definition of $c_T(r,l)$. Then by Lemma 8, we may find a decomposition $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{y}_i + S_i)$ satisfying (i)–(v). Hence, by Lemma 3 and (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_A(S) &\le C\sqrt{\log N}\Delta_A(S) + \max_i F_A(\mathbf{y}_i + S_i) \\ &\le C(d)\left(\widetilde{\alpha}_A(S) + 2^l\sqrt{p}\Delta_l + 2^{-l}\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)\right) \\ &\quad + \max_i F_A(S_i). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

We have $\Delta_A(S_i) \le \Delta_{l+1}$, $\widetilde{\alpha}_A(S_i) \le \widetilde{\Delta}_{l+1}$, $S_i - S_i \subset S - S \subset T - T$ and $\#S_i \le \#S - 1 \le r - 1$, thus $\max_i F_A(S_i) \le c_T(r-1, l+1)$ and (26) yields (25).

By (25), we immediately obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_T(r,0) &\le c_T(1,r-1) + C(d)\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left(\widetilde{\Delta}_l + 2^l\sqrt{p}\Delta_l + 2^{-l}\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)\right) \\ &\le C(d)\left(\sqrt{p}\Delta_A(T) + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)\right). \end{aligned}$$

For $T \subset \frac{1}{2}(B_2^n)^{d-1}$, we have by (24),

$$\begin{aligned} F_A(T) &= \sup\{F_A(S) : S \subset T, \#S < \infty\} \le \sup_r c_T(r,0) \\ &\le C(d)\left(\sqrt{p}\Delta_A(T) + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $T \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$, then $\frac{1}{2}T \subset \frac{1}{2}(B_2^n)^{d-1}$ and $\Delta_A(\frac{1}{2}T) = 2^{1-d}\Delta_A(T)$, hence,

$$F_A(T) = 2^{d-1}F_A(\tfrac{1}{2}T)$$
$$\leq C(d)\left(\sqrt{p}\Delta_A(T) + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)\right). \qquad \square$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. First, we prove by induction on d the estimate from below. For $d = 1$, it follows by (1). Suppose that the lower estimate holds for matrices of order smaller than d. Then by the induction assumption, we have for any matrix $B = (b_{\mathbf{i}})_{i_1,\dots,i_{d-1}}$,

$$\left\|\sum_{\mathbf{i}} b_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} g_{i_j}^{(j)}\right\|_p \geq C(d-1)^{-1}\sqrt{p}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}} b_{\mathbf{i}}^2\right)^{1/2} \geq C(d)^{-1}\left\|\sum_{\mathbf{i}} b_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_1,\dots,i_{d-1}}\right\|_p,$$

where $(g_{i_1,\dots,i_{d-1}})$ is a sequence of i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ r.v.'s independent of $(g_{i_d}^{(d)})$. Therefore, by (2),

$$\left\|\sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{d} g_{i_j}^{(j)}\right\|_p \geq C(d)^{-1}\left\|\sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_1,\dots,i_{d-1}} g_{i_d}^{(d)}\right\|_p$$
$$\geq C(d)^{-1}\sqrt{p}\|A\|_{\{1,\dots,d\}}. \tag{27}$$

Let $(I_1,\dots,I_k) \in S(k,d)$ with $k \geq 2$ and $\sum_{i_{I_l}} (x_{I_l}^{(l)})^2 \leq 1$ for $l = 1,\dots,k$. Then by the induction assumption applied twice [first conditionally on $(g_{i_j}^{(j)})_{j\in I_1}$], we have

$$\left\|\sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{d} g_{i_j}^{(j)}\right\|_p \geq C(d-\#I_1)^{-1}p^{(k-1)/2}\left\|\sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j\in I_1} g_{i_j}^{(j)} \prod_{l=2}^{k} x_{I_l}^{(l)}\right\|_p$$
$$\geq (C(d-\#I_1)C(\#I_1))^{-1}p^{k/2}\sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{l=1}^{k} x_{I_l}^{(l)}$$

and, hence,

$$\left\|\sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{d} g_{i_j}^{(j)}\right\|_p \geq C(d)^{-1}p^{k/2}\|A\|_{I_1,\dots,I_k}. \tag{28}$$

Inequalities (27) and (28) imply the lower part of estimate (4).

Now (again by induction on d), we prove the estimate from above. For $d \leq 2$, the estimate follows by (1) and (2). Suppose that $d \geq 3$ and the estimate

holds for chaoses of order smaller than d. By the induction assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(29)\qquad &\left\|\sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{d} g_{i_j}^{(j)}\right\|_p \\ &\quad \le C(d-1)\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{k/2} \sum_{(I_1,\ldots,I_k)\in S(k,d-1)} \left(\mathbf{E}\left\|\left(\sum_{i_d} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_d}^{(d)}\right)\right\|_{I_1,\ldots,I_k}^{p}\right)^{1/p}.\end{aligned}$$

However, for $(I_1,\ldots,I_k)\in S(k,d-1)$, we have by (12),

$$\begin{aligned}(30)\qquad &\left(\mathbf{E}\left\|\left(\sum_{i_d} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_d}^{(d)}\right)\right\|_{I_1,\ldots,I_k}^{p}\right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad \le C\sqrt{p}\|A\|_{I_1,\ldots,I_k\{d\}} + \mathbf{E}\left\|\left(\sum_{i_d} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_d}^{(d)}\right)\right\|_{I_1,\ldots,I_k}.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 gives

$$(31)\qquad \mathbf{E}\left\|\left(\sum_{i_d} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_d}^{(d)}\right)\right\|_{I_1,\ldots,I_k} \le C(k+1)p^{-k/2} m_p(A).$$

Inequalities (29)–(31) then yield the upper estimate in (4). □

Proof of Corollary 1. Let $S := \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{d} g_{i_j}^{(j)}$. By Chebyshev's inequality and (4), one gets for $p \ge 2$,

$$(32)\qquad \mathbf{P}(|S| \ge eC(d)m_p(A)) \le \mathbf{P}(|S| \ge e\|S\|_p) \le e^{-p}.$$

Since $\|S\|_{2p} \le C_1(d)\|S\|_p$ (cf. [9], Section 3.2, or use (4) and $m_{2p}(A) \le 2^{d/2} m_p(A)$), we get by the Paley–Zygmund inequality for $q \ge 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}(S \ge 2^{-1}\|S\|_q) &= \mathbf{P}(|S|^q \ge 2^{-q}\mathbf{E}|S|^q) \\ &\ge (1-2^{-q})^2 \frac{(\mathbf{E}|S|^q)^2}{\mathbf{E}|S|^{2q}} \ge (2C_1(d))^{-2q}.\end{aligned}$$

Fix $p > 0$ and take $q := p/(2\ln(2C_1(d)))$. Then $q \ge 2$ for $p \ge p_0(d)$ and $\|S\|_q \ge C(d)^{-1} m_q(A) \ge C(d)^{-1}(\max(2\ln(2C_1(d)),1))^{-d/2} m_p(A) = 2C_2(d)^{-1} m_p(A)$. Thus, for $p \ge p_0(d)$,

$$\mathbf{P}(|S| \ge C_2(d)^{-1} m_p(A)) \ge \mathbf{P}(S \ge 2^{-1}\|S\|_q) \ge (2C_1(d))^{-2q} = e^{-p}$$

and, therefore, for any $p > 0$,

$$(33)\qquad \mathbf{P}(|S| \ge C_2(d)^{-1} m_p(A)) \ge \min(e^{-p_0(d)}, e^{-p}).$$

Finally, note that if $m_p(A) = s \geq m_2(A)$, then p is comparable (with constants depending only on d) with

$$\min\{(s/\|A\|_{I_1,\ldots,I_k})^{2/k} : 1 \leq k \leq d, (I_1,\ldots,I_k) \in S(k,d)\}$$

and, therefore, Corollary 1 follows by (32) and (33). □

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank the referee for a careful reading of the manuscript and valuable remarks.

REFERENCES

[1] Adamczak, R. (2005). Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and concentration of measure for convex functions and polynomial chaoses. *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* **53** 221–238. MR2163396

[2] Adamczak, R. (2005). Moment inequalities for U-statistics. *Ann. Probab.* **34** 2288–2314.

[3] Arcones, M. and Giné, E. (1993). On decoupling, series expansions, and tail behaviour of chaos processes. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **6** 101–122. MR1201060

[4] Borell, C. (1984). On the Taylor series of a Wiener polynomial. In *Seminar Notes on Multiple Stochastic Integration, Polynomial Chaos and Their Integration*. Case Western Reserve Univ., Cleveland.

[5] de la Peña, V. H. and Montgomery-Smith, S. (1994). Bounds for the tail probabilities of U-statistics and quadratic forms. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **31** 223–227. MR1261237

[6] Hanson, D. L. and Wright, F. T. (1971). A bound on tail probabilities for quadratic forms in independent random variables. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **42** 1079–1083. MR0279864

[7] Latała, R. (1999). Tail and moment estimates for some types of chaos. *Studia Math.* **135** 39–53. MR1686370

[8] Ledoux, M. (2001). *The Concentration of Measure Phenomenon.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI. MR1849347

[9] Ledoux, M. and Talagrand, M. (1991). *Probability in Banach Spaces*: *Isoperimetry and Processes*. Springer, New York. MR1102015

[10] Łochowski, R. (2006). Moment and tail estimates for multidimensional chaoses generated by symmetric random variables with logarithmically concave tails. *Banach Center Publ.* **72** 161–176.

Institute of Mathematics
Warsaw University
Banacha 2
02-097 Warszawa
Poland
E-mail: rlatala@mimuw.edu.pl